



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/986,016	11/07/2001	Luisa DeMorais Santos	NC 83072	4583

26852 7590 03/28/2003

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
OFFICE OF COUNSEL
800 NORTH QUINCY STREET
ARLINGTON, VA 22217-5660

EXAMINER

DIXON, MERRICK L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1774

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003

3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. AS
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER
3

DATE MAILED:

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY

- ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____
- ☐ This action is **FINAL**.
- ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, **prosecution as to the merits is closed** in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

- ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.
- Of the above, claim(s) 20-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

- ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
- ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.
- ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been
- ☐ received.
- ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____
- ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

- ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____
- ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152


MERRICK DIXON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

— SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES —

Art Unit: 1774

15

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1774.

16

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it includes the legal word, "comprises". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

17

The examiner acknowledges applicants's election, without traverse, of claims 1-19.

18

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1774

19

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Josephs(6061828) in view of Conway et al(5077101).

The primary reference teaches the basic claimed invention including a disruptive camouflage pattern system consisting of pixels of at least two colors which form camouflaged pattern system with various sizes patterns- col 2, lines 16-58. Although the primary reference teaches patterns of varying sizes for its product, it fails to expressly teach ~~such~~ its camouflage in both human visible and near infrared ranges , i.e., color gradation. The secondary reference to Conway et al teaches this aspect- col 2, lines 21-63; col 3, lines 44-55; col 4, lines, lines 32-52 . It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to facilitate the primary reference with similarly patterned brightness / color gradation , as taught by the secondary reference, to more perfect the camouflage effect of the primary reference and in the absence of unexpected results.

Concerning claims 5 and 6, the secondary reference teaches similar color groups in col 3, lines 50-51; col 4, lines 33-46. Concerning claims 7-10 and 14, the cited primary reference teaches the claimed limitations in col 4, lines 61-66; col 6, lines 40-65. Concerning claims 11-19, the cited secondary reference teaches the claimed limitations in col 3, lines 15-18; col 4, lines 55-57.

Art Unit: 1774

20

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lehman(5972479) and Yacovella(4656065) are cited of interest for their respective teachings and to show the state of the art..

21

Crystal Plaza Three Fax Center

A facsimile center has been established in Crystal Plaza 3. The hours of operations are Mondays through Friday, 8:45 to 4:45 PM. This new location should be used in all instances when faxing any correspondence to Group 1700. The Patent Examining Fax Center new telecopier numbers are (703) 305-3599 for all After Finals and 703-305-5408 for all others. Use of the new Crystal Plaza 3 center will facilitate rapid delivery of materials to the group. The faxing of all papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (November 15, 1989).

New! Applicants who wish to send a facsimile (draft copies) for the examiner's immediate review can now do so by using the Examiner Dixon's personal fax number at 703-872-9514. **NOTE: All facsimiles sent to the examiner's personal fax number should be in draft-forms and will be treated as informal. Same facsimiles will not be entered in the related applications unless otherwise noted by the examiner.**

Art Unit: 1774

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose number is (703)308-2351.

22

Any questions concerning the instant communication should be directed to Examiner Dixon, at 703-308-0013, Mondays to Thursdays, between 12 noon and 6 PM, eastern time .

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Merrick Dixon". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Merrick" and last name "Dixon" clearly distinguishable.

Merrick Dixon

Primary Examiner

Group 1700